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ABSTRACT

Transcription factors (TFs) as SRY-Box transcription factor 11 (SOX11) and B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 11A (BCL11A) role in breast cancer (BC) as well as their effect on epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin) membrane protein which is a metastasis suppressor is a question that must be addressed. Among the thirty-nine BC, patients were invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), twenty females with benign fibroadenomas and/or fibrocystic changes, and nineteen healthy control. We quantified SOX11, E-cadherin, and BCL11A serum levels in Egyptian women with BC and determined their cut-off values. The correlation between SOX11, E-cadherin, and BCL11A sera levels and cancer antigen (CA15.3), as well as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), were assessed and quantified by ELISA. Finally, we explored the association between SOX11, E-cadherin levels, and BCL11A as potential markers according to histo- and clinicopathological characteristics and hormone receptors. Significant increase in E-cadherin serum levels in the cancerous than the non-cancerous group (P<0.05). A significant decrease in SOX11 and BCL11A serum levels (P<0.05) was detected in the non-cancerous group than the cancerous group. In addition, a decrease in SOX11 levels was observed in the later stage of BC cases, while earlier stage BC cases were associated with an increase in SOX11. In addition to the significant positive correlation (P<0.05) between SOX11 and BCL11A proteins in blood suggesting a common inter-regulatory pathway. SOX11 has an excellent area under the curve (AUC) either solely or combined with CA15.3. Earlier stages in BC were associated with an increase in SOX11 serum levels (P<0.05).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the most frequently diagnosed cancer among women worldwide [1]. The magnitude of the BC burden in Egypt was unknown until the Egyptian National Cancer Registry Program compiled and published its results in 2014 [2, 3]. According to the Egyptian National Cancer Institute (NCI), Egypt registry data BC ranks first among tumors and invasive-ductal carcinoma (IDC) is the most frequent pathological subtype [4].

BC has many targeted biomarker approaches to its treatment. However, it is a heterogeneous
disease with many different outcomes, instigating the discovery of new markers that contribute to better clinical outcome assessments. During the pathogenesis of human cancer, the transcription factors (TF) are commonly deregulated that might cause loss or gain of their function [5]. Interest in targeting TFs is now gaining interest from researchers since they can be highly effective in diagnosing and treating particular malignancies, like SOX18 being an attractive target for the treatment of metastatic disease in cancer and anti-angiogenic therapy [6].

The SRY-Box transcription factor (SOX) family is one of the TF families that are involved in cell differentiation, initiation, and activation of genes [5, 7]. Researchers have implicated SOX factors in many aspects of development, including organ development, embryogenesis, sex determination, neurogenesis, and hematopoiesis [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

The SOX family has various diverse and contradictory roles especially in oncology and plays a role during cell proliferation, migration, invasion, tumor metastasis, and suppression [12, 13, 14]. Previously, researchers have found that higher cytoplasmic and nuclear SOX11 expressions are associated with low tumor size, absence of node metastasis, and earlier stages of the disease, as well as prolonged overall survival than those with lower expression [15]. SOX11 role in tumor growth regulation is highly suggested after addressing a strong nuclear expression of SOX11 in ovarian cancer and its correlation with prolonged recurrence-free survival [16].

B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)/lymphoma 11 (BCL11) gene family members, including the BCL11A and BCL11B genes, are known to be involved in Hodgkin’s and non-Hodgkin’s B-cell lymphoma [17, 18]. They are zinc finger proteins and transcriptional repressors normally expressed from myeloid precursor cells and encoding the cysteine two and histidine two (C2H2) gene [19, 20].

The precise role of BCL11A in BC remains unclear. However, researchers have found that its level is overexpressed in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) than in other types of BC, and low BCL11A gene was found to decrease the tumorigenicity of TNBC, produce tumors with significantly reduced sizes and decrease the clonogenic capacity of different TNBC cell lines [21]. It is noteworthy to mention that zinc fingers are suggested to be controlled and regulated by SOX TFs [22].

Zinc family protein members are characterized by flanking zinc finger groups, enabling them to bind with a specific DNA binding ability and effectively reduce E-cadherin expression levels [23]. Studying the effect of BCL11A zinc protein family members on repressing E-cadherin levels like zinc finger E-box- binding (ZEB) proteins will be investigated in this study. E-cadherin is a membrane adhesion protein that plays a crucial role in cancer progression and may be repressed by some zinc finger protein family members that encode cysteine two and histidine two (C2H2) gene [24].

E-cadherin is responsible for cell-to-cell adhesion between epithelial cells, resulting in cell layering as well as regulating cell morphogenesis, repression of E-cadherin, and restraining its functions cause the cell-to-cell adhesion and cell integrity to fade gradually [25]. When cell integrity is impaired, E-cadherin protein leakage occurs from the cell membrane, and its serum level increases. This aids in the process of invasion and metastasis in BC, which strongly correlates with tumor aggressiveness and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) occurs [26]. This allows E-cadherin to be considered a tumor suppressor protein against cell metastasis and cancer aggressiveness [24].
Since then SOX11 serum levels have not been linked to disease outcome to date. In the current study, we focus on measuring the serum level of SOX11 and assessing its correlation with BCL11A and E-cadherin cell-to-cell adhesion protein. In addition to studying their relation to BC subtypes and BC stages. The possibility that the studied TFs would affect the E-cadherin levels is also investigated. The current paper attempt to identify new molecular markers with higher sensitivity and specificity than the classical non-specific markers of the past, with the more efficient marker(s) related to early-stages diagnosis.

2. SUBJECTS, MATERIALS, AND METHODS

2.1. Subjects

The study groups were recruited from the NCI (Egypt), Cairo University. Pretreated patients who had recently been diagnosed with BC or benign cases participated in the study.

2.1.1. Ethics Statement

The ethical committees at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University approved the study protocol (code number 97-18/10/2015) and the NCI (Egypt), Cairo University (code number IRB00004025). The study was carried out by the regulations and recommendations of the Declaration of Helsinki (WMA 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.1.2. Inclusion criteria

Women in the malignant group were (45 cases) 39 diagnosed with IDC and 6 cases with invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) before any treatment. Their average age was 47 (ranging from 30 to 69). The benign group cases included 20 women, diagnosed with fibrocystic changes or fibroadenoma tumors and their average age was 34 (ranging from 25 to 48). About the control group, 19 women with an average age of 42 years (ranging from 30 to 60 years) were recruited.

Study subjects underwent a physical examination. Detailed medical history and anthropometric measurements were recorded.

2.1.3. Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria included males, females under 25 years of age or over 70 of age, subjects receiving any chemotherapy or radiotherapy, patients with blood disorder diseases, any cancer other than BC. Additionally, patients with incomplete data or histopathology diagnosis and patients with distant metastasis were excluded.

2.1.4. Participants data

Clinical data were obtained from medical records and the original pathology reports. This data was compiled in an Excel sheet.

The following data parameters were recorded and assessed: age of the patient, tumor size (defined by mammography or magnetic resonance techniques diameter (mm or cm) at diagnosis), initial tumor stage, and nodal status according to the tumor size-lymph node status and metastasis (TNM) classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) guidelines [27, 28].

All histopathological parameters and tumor subtypes data included in the study were assessed by pathologists and were derived from the original pathology reports found at the NCI. Cancers subtypes were diagnosed with triple standard assessment and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was done if required. Hormone receptor and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) statuses were determined in the core biopsy through immunohistochemistry. Breast imaging-reporting and data system (BIRADS) classification were performed according to the American College of Radiology guidelines [29]. Specialized pathologists who
have performed scoring at Cairo University and NCI Pathology Department. These scorings were made according to standardized protocols and the pathologist was unaware of the study objective.

2.2. Blood samples collection and preparation

Peripheral blood samples were withdrawn from patients at the end of the clinical examination interview. Blood samples were divided into two aliquots, the routine work analyses were measured on the same day of blood collection and the remaining samples were kept at -80 °C until the time of the ELISA assays by the microplate reader (Sunrise, Tecan, Switzerland).

2.3. Biochemical analysis

Liver enzymes and kidney function tests (Spectrum, Hannover, Germany), were determined by spectrophotometric measurements. ELISA was used to determine serum levels of the investigated markers SOX11 (catalog No. H7362), E-cadherin (catalog No. 10036), and BCL11A (catalog No. B7679) (Glory Science Co., Ltd, China). These serum level tests were conducted in addition to CA15.3 (catalog No.EIA-5068) and CEA (catalog No. EIA-1868) (DRG, Germany), according to the manufacturers' instructions.

2.4. Statistical analysis

We conducted all statistical analyses using the SPSS statistical package for Windows Version 23.0 (SPSS Software, Chicago, IL, USA). The normal distribution of the data was analyzed; continuous variables were expressed as mean ± (Standard error of the mean) (SEM) using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. The median (interquartile range) (IQR) for the nonparametric data using the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis H test was also used. The best cut-off values were calculated (the value with the highest sensitivity and lowest 1-specificity with the minimum difference between sensitivity and specificity) from the AUC that was obtained from the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the investigated parameter(s) (SOX11, E-cadherin, and BCL11A) (30). Correlations were assessed using the Spearman correlation coefficient (r) and P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Clinical and biological analysis of study populations for control, benign and malignant groups

CA15.3 and CEA as well as the studied parameters (SOX11, E-cadherin, and BCL11A), showed a significant difference among control, benign, and malignant groups (Table 1). There was a significant median difference in SOX11 levels between the malignant (135 pg/mL) and benign (234 pg/mL) groups (P<0.05) and between malignant (135 pg/mL) and control group (257 pg/mL) (P<0.05). Moreover, there was a significant median difference in E-cadherin between malignant (4284 pg/mL) and benign group (3880 pg/mL) at P<0.05 and between malignant (4284 pg/mL) and control group (3403 pg/mL) at P<0.05. The significant mean difference in BCL11A between the malignant (9583 pg/mL) and control (10820 pg/mL) groups at P< 0.05 (Table 1).

3.2. For cancerous and non-cancerous groups

Table 2 revealed the SOX11 median level in the cancerous (135 pg/L and the non-cancerous groups (239 pg/mL) with a significant difference between the groups. Also, a significant median difference in E-cadherin between cancerous (4284 pg/mL) and non-cancerous groups (3542 pg/mL) (P<0.05) was recorded. There was a significant mean difference for the proto-oncogene BCL11A between cancerous (9583 pg/mL) and (10463 pg/mL) for the non-cancerous groups.
### Table 1. Clinical and biological analysis of the studied parameters in control, benign and malignant groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups (n)/ Parameters</th>
<th>Control (19)</th>
<th>Benign (20)</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>Malignant (45)</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42 (1.7)</td>
<td>34 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>47 (1.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST* U/mL</td>
<td>18 (14-20)</td>
<td>17 (13-27.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>18 (14-24)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT* U/mL</td>
<td>16 (11-21)</td>
<td>13.5 (10-19.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>18 (12.5-24)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea* mg/dL</td>
<td>23 (19-26)</td>
<td>22 (20-29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>24 (19-28.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine mg/dL</td>
<td>0.64 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.03)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.65 (0.22)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA15.3* U/mL</td>
<td>18 (14.6-22)</td>
<td>17.4 (14-20.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>27.6 (22.7-31.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA* ng/mL</td>
<td>0.58 (0.38-0.74)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.52-1.02)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.91 (0.48-1.3)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX11* pg/mL</td>
<td>257 (213.8-265.3)</td>
<td>234.7 (205-323.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>135 (90-151)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin* pg/mL</td>
<td>3403 (2757-3804)</td>
<td>3880 (2495-3880)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>4284 (3804-4851)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL11A pg/mL</td>
<td>10820 (239)</td>
<td>10124 (249)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>9583 (235.6)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±SEM for normally distributed data, *Data are expressed as median with 25% to 75% interquartile range, P1 for significance level of benign vs control group, P2 for significance level of malignant vs control group, P3 for significance level of malignant vs benign group, Ns: Non-significant

### Table 2. Non-cancerous and cancerous clinical and biological analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters/ Groups (n)</th>
<th>Non-cancerous (39)</th>
<th>Cancerous (45)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>38 (1.3)</td>
<td>47 (1.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST* U/mL</td>
<td>18 (13-24)</td>
<td>18 (14-24)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT* U/mL</td>
<td>15 (10-20)</td>
<td>18 (12.5-24)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea* mg/dL</td>
<td>22 (19-29)</td>
<td>24 (19-28.5)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine mg/dL</td>
<td>0.63 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.22)</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA15.3* U/mL</td>
<td>17.5 (14.5-20.3)</td>
<td>27.6 (22.7-31.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA* ng/mL</td>
<td>0.7 (0.42-0.89)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.48-1.3)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX 11* pg/mL</td>
<td>239 (217-332)</td>
<td>135 (90-151)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin* pg/mL</td>
<td>3542 (2757-3880)</td>
<td>4284 (3804-4851)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL11A pg/mL</td>
<td>10463 (180)</td>
<td>9583 (235.6)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±SEM for normally distributed data, *Data are expressed as median with 25% to 75% interquartile range, Ns: Non-significant
3.3. ROC curve for the studied parameters

ROC curves are plotted in Fig. 1 to 5 to illustrate the diagnostic capacity of the studied markers, single and combined. The cut-off point for SOX11 (Fig. 1) was set at 162 pg/mL where we achieved a sensitivity of 84% as well as a specificity of 87%. The AUC was 0.91 and the 95% CI was 0.84 to 0.97 and P<0.05. The cut-off point for E-cadherin (Fig. 2) was set at 3973 pg/ml where we achieved 71% sensitivity and 79.5% specificity. The AUC was 0.68 and the 95% CI was 0.56 to 0.8 at a P<0.05. The best cut-off point for BCL11A (Fig. 3) was set at 9960 pg/ml where we achieved 42% sensitivity and 38.5% specificity, with the AUC being 0.36 and also 95% CI 0.24 to 0.47 and P<0.05.

The cut-off point of CA15.3 (Fig. 4) was set at 21 U/mL where we achieved 80% sensitivity and 79.5% specificity at a P<0.05. The AUC was 0.89 and the 95% CI was 0.81 to 0.95. An increase in the AUC of CA15.3 from 0.89 to 0.93 after incorporation with SOX11 marker (Fig. 5) was observed, in addition to an increase in sensitivity from 80% to 91%, specificity from 79.5% to 89%, and P<0.05.
3.4. Association between the studied parameters and TNM staging among BC patients

It was found that there was a significant difference in the mean elevated serum levels of SOX11 in stage II BC cases compared to stage III BC cases at P<0.05 (Table 3). However, there is no significant difference between the different tumor sizes T1-T2 ≤ 5 cm and T3-T4 ≥ 5 cm as well as node metastasis N0 and ≥ N1 for SOX11, E-cadherin, and BCL11A.

3.5. Association between the studied parameters, histopathological grades, and types of BC

For the different subtypes of BC, no significant difference in serum level was observed for SOX11 and BCL11A. Among the luminal A subtype, the median level of E-cadherin was significantly elevated in comparison to the median E-cadherin serum level among the TNBC subtype at P<0.05 (Table 4).

Table 3. Association of the studied parameters among BC patients and TN Staging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathological Parameters</th>
<th>Pathological T stage, P1 Ns</th>
<th>Lymph Node, P2Ns</th>
<th>TNM Stage, P3 #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1-T2 ≤ 5cm</td>
<td>T3-T4 ≥ 5cm</td>
<td>N0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N  SOX11* pg/mL</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135 (92-182)</td>
<td>121 (91-143)</td>
<td>135 (106-155)</td>
<td>112 (77-151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin* pg/mL</td>
<td>4284 (2822-5113)</td>
<td>4284 (4175-4458)</td>
<td>4284 (4066-5375)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL11A pg/mL</td>
<td>9461 (397)</td>
<td>9680 (287)</td>
<td>9495 (324)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± (SEM) for normally distributed data, *Data are expressed as median with 25% to 75% interquartile range, Ns: Non-significant, # is P3 significance level at <0.05
Table 4. Association between the studied parameters among BC patients’ histopathological grades and types of BC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>SOX11* median (IQR)</th>
<th>E-Cadherin* median (IQR)</th>
<th>BCL11A mean (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Grade 2 vs Grade 3</td>
<td>135 (95-161)</td>
<td>4284 (3804-5375)</td>
<td>9541 (270)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (34 vs 11)</td>
<td>101 (91-140)</td>
<td>4284 (2757-4284)</td>
<td>9713 (503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal A vs Luminal B</td>
<td>135 (106-148)</td>
<td>4384 (4284-5375)</td>
<td>9490 (322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (24 vs 8)</td>
<td>98 (80-175)</td>
<td>4175 (2364-4284)</td>
<td>9362 (624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal A vs Herceptin</td>
<td>135 (106-148)</td>
<td>4384 (4284-5375)</td>
<td>9490 (322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (24 vs 7)</td>
<td>74 (71-141)</td>
<td>4284 (3804-4284)</td>
<td>9314 (565)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal A vs TNBC</td>
<td>135 (106-148)</td>
<td>4384 (4284-5375)</td>
<td>9490 (322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (24 vs 6)</td>
<td>133 (85-187)</td>
<td>3411 (2560-3935)</td>
<td>10550 (486)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal B vs Herceptin</td>
<td>98 (80-175)</td>
<td>4175 (2364-4284)</td>
<td>9362 (624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (8 vs 7)</td>
<td>74 (71-141)</td>
<td>4284 (3804-4284)</td>
<td>9314 (565)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal B vs TNBC</td>
<td>98 (80-175)</td>
<td>4175 (2364-4284)</td>
<td>9362 (624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (8 vs 6)</td>
<td>133 (85-187)</td>
<td>3411 (2560-3935)</td>
<td>10550 (486)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herceptin vs TNBC</td>
<td>74 (71-141)</td>
<td>4284 (3804-4284)</td>
<td>9314 (565)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (7 vs 6)</td>
<td>133 (85-187)</td>
<td>3411 (2560-3935)</td>
<td>10550 (486)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± (SEM) for normally distributed data, *Data are expressed as median with 25% to 75% interquartile range,

Ns: Non-significant, #P is significant level at <0.05

Table 5. Spearman correlations between studied parameters in the BC group (n= 45)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CEA ng/mL</th>
<th>CA15.3 U/mL</th>
<th>SOX11 pg/mL</th>
<th>E-Cadherin pg/mL</th>
<th>BCL11A pg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEA ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA 15.3 U/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>0.019’</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX11 pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>0.019’</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>0.003’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Cadherin pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL11A pg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>0.003’</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman correlation coefficient (r), * Significant, Ns: Non-significant
3.6. Correlations analysis of the studied parameters

Investigation of serum correlations between continuous parametric (BCL11A) and non-parametric assays (CEA, CA15.3, SOX11, and E-cadherin) revealed a significant negative correlation between CA15.3 and SOX11 at $P<0.05$. A significant positive correlation was observed between SOX11 and BCL11A $P<0.05$ (Table 5).

4. DISCUSSION

The current paper attempted to measuring SOX11 serum levels in healthy and diseased subjects as it is one of the main research objectives. However, a previous study showed that normal cells are enriched with silencing histone marks on the SOX11 promoter, which has a low degree of methylation [31]. Nonetheless, malignant cells have a high degree of methylation, which correlates inversely with SOX11 gene expression levels, proving a diverse pattern in promoter methylation of SOX11 in the cases of solid tumors compared with B-lymphomas [31].

SOX11 promoter methylation can proceed (or not persist) despite protein expression. A study on epithelial ovarian cancer cell lines reveals that highly induced methylated cells correlate with a complete lack of SOX11 expression at both mRNA and protein levels [32]. This has also been observed among nasopharyngeal carcinomas [33].

Perhaps in breast tumor-genesis, there might be overexpression of SOX11 gene or up-regulation first, followed by promoter methylation then down-regulation of SOX11 gene and protein expression. This would explain the lower serum levels of SOX11 among malignant subjects in comparison to healthy controls. This finding means that further research on the expression levels of SOX11 and its correlations with other tumor subtypes and the promoter methylation status is needed.

SOX11 serum levels were significant with tumor stages, as higher serum median levels were observed in stage 2 in comparison to stage 3. SOX11 serum levels were also associated with smaller tumor size and the absence of node metastasis. In concordance with the general data, the overexpression of SOX11 correlates with a better prognosis for breast, ovarian and gastric cancers [15, 16, 34].

Spearman’s correlation significantly showed a negative correlation between SOX11 and CA15.3. This relationship reinforces the suggestion that higher expression of SOX11 is associated with better disease outcomes and earlier tumor stages.

SOX11 silencing inhibits apoptosis by inhibiting caspase-9-3-7-PARP signaling and desensitizing mantle cell lymphomas to the anti-cancer drugs [35]. Also, SOX11 is negatively regulating autotaxin levels which promotes tumor growth and survival in cells involved with carcinogenesis [36]. SOX11 silencing decreases cell cycle protein regulators, promoting G1 phase conversion to the S phase [35]. All these effects may increase tumor aggressiveness and cancer burden when the SOX11 level is decreased in BC patients.

The tumor suppressor functions of SOX11 are supported by the tumor suppressor functions of SOX families through blocking the Wnt/catenin signaling pathway and the invasion-related genes [37].

The loss in E-cadherin expression was found to be associated with metastasis and lobular carcinomas in comparison to IDC carcinoma [38]. Loss of E-cadherin and the resulting suppression or impairment of cell-to-cell adhesion causing protein leakage from the membrane and its rise in serum levels, and E-
cadherin loss gradually contributes to metastasis and initiation of the primary steps in the EMT process in cancer patients in agreement with public data [39]. EMT is the coordinated destabilization of cell-to-cell contacts and the acquisition of a more migratory and invasive mesenchymal phenotype [24, 40].

Regarding the diagnostic utility of serum E-cadherin to differentiate between cancerous from non-cancerous cases, the ROC curve was plotted with an AUC of 0.68 which is a fair AUC. Therefore, E-cadherin can be used combined with SOX11 or with conventional markers such as CA15.3 and is not used solely, to improve all-usefulness in BC diagnosis.

Comparing the use of a fixed cut-off value, serum SOX11 has an excellent AUC of 0.91. SOX11 can be used to diagnose and differentiate between cancerous and non-cancerous cases. This raises the possibility that this parameter can be used as a strong diagnostic marker either solely or combined with one of the specific markers such as CA15.3. Combining SOX11 with CA15.3 increased the AUC from 0.89 (CA15.3) to 0.93 (combined), sensitivity, and specificity of the diagnosis. All these previous values were obtained and showed an improvement of the diagnostic utility than using CA15.3 alone.

By stratifying patients in the malignant group, according to BC subtypes, a significant difference in E-cadherin levels in luminal A and TNBC subtypes was observed. This is suggested to be due to the increase in the levels of BCL11A in the TNBC group. High levels of BCL11A might decrease the intracellular expression levels of E-cadherin. BCL11A shares the same gene family of ZEB proteins (C2H2 type) which can hypothetically share a similar mechanism on E-cadherin repression [23].

In the current study, E-cadherin levels were not significant when groups were stratified by tumor stages in agreement with public data [41, 42]. However, reduction of E-cadherin expression is associated with a poor prognosis [43].

E-cadherin likely has a more diagnostic function in differentiating between cancerous and non-cancerous groups and between metastatic and non-metastatic groups, rather than differentiating between stages of BC. Spearman’s correlation showed no serum correlation between E-cadherin and either CEA or CA15.3 serum levels.

Significant serum BCL11A mean levels difference between cancerous and non-cancerous subjects suggests a process of down-regulation to BCL11A during the occurrence process of malignancy. This is despite the TNBC breast subtype was associated with higher BCL11A mean levels, than the non-cancerous group. This could justify the aggressiveness of this BC subtype. No significant differences in BCL11A and the histopathological characteristics were observed. The mean level of BCL11A was highest in TNBC and lowest in the Herceptin BC subtype.

Both SOX11 and BCL11A are expressed from lymphoid tissues [44, 45]. Both have been found to regulate the B-cell-specific activator protein (pax5) the protein responsible for the early B-cell differentiation stage [46, 47]. The significant positive correlation between SOX11 and BCL11A proteins in the blood might suggest a common regulatory pathway of the two proteins (markers) to be explored.

This is further supported by the reactivation of the embryonic developmental programs in mature mammary breast cells with mouse Breast Cancer Gene (BRCA1 +/-) deleted or mutated gene. In these mammary breast cells, SOX11 and BCL11A are activated along with other TFs [48].
Study findings are revealed in Fig. 6 illustrating the most important results.

**Fig 6.** A Collective Illustrative Diagram

**Conclusion**

This study showed a significant elevation in E-cadherin serum levels in cancerous subjects. However, there was also a significant decrease in SOX11 and BCL11A serum levels in this BC study group. An increase in serum SOX11 levels was associated with earlier stages of BC. Serum SOX11 has an excellent AUC and can be used either solely or combined with CA15.3 to improve BC diagnosis. E-cadherin can be used combined with SOX11 or with conventional markers such as CA15.3 and not being used solely. E-cadherin levels can aid as a diagnostic marker in breast carcinomas. BCL11A has no significant role in all BC subtypes. TNBC breast subtype was associated with a higher proto-oncogene BCL11A mean level than the non-cancerous group.
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**Study Limitations**

These findings are specific to pre-treated subjects and cannot be generalized to post-treated subjects. Despite the limited number of subjects enrolled in this study, the results can still guide further research studies.

**Recommendations and future directions**

Study of the relation of the promoter methylation status; epigenetic modification and SOX11 expression.
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