Ivabradine in Chronic Heart Failure
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ABSTRACT
Heart failure (HF) is an epidemic of cardiovascular disease resulting in impaired function and worsened quality of life (QOL) of HF patients. Increased heart rate correlates with poor outcomes in these patients; therefore, its reduction may be beneficial in reducing hospitalization for worsening HF. Guideline therapy recommendation for β-blocking agents is a standard cornerstone for the treatment of HF. Despite, the dose adjustment of β-blockers for patients who cannot withstand the target dose, desired goal heart rate reduction is unfortunately not always reached. Additionally, β-blockers are contraindicated for certain patients. Ivabradine decreases the heart rate through inhibiting the cardiac pacemaker current (I f) without having any influence on the sympathetic nervous system. The drug has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration in 2015. In 2012, ivabradine use was included in the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for the management of HF, to be used alongside β-blockers or as a safer substitute. This short review aimed to discuss the ivabradine use in both reduced and preserved ejection fraction HF patients. Ivabradine was found to be generally tolerable and safe. Efficacy for HF patients with systolic dysfunction has been confirmed, however, in HF patients with diastolic dysfunction, it is yet to be extensively evaluated. Moreover, the role of ivabradine in HF patients with Atrial Fibrillation (AF) is currently under investigation.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Despite the medical advances in therapeutic agents developed for controlling HF, it continues to be a global health burden. Its prevalence is about 1–2% of the adult population in developed countries. Recent reports report the expectation that prevalence may double in the future [1-4]. Age has a direct relationship with the occurrence of HF. The rate is 1-2% of the population with ages less than 55 and reaches 10% for those older than 75 years [5]. Half of the burden of HF is caused by heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and the other half by heart failure with preserved ejection fraction HFpEF [6].
In Egypt, the first national large-scale prospective multi-center registry to study HF patients was published in 2015. Demographics of 2145 HF patients were analyzed and compared to those from other countries in the (ESC-HF-LT) registry. Of the patients included in the registry, 68.8% of patients had Acute Heart Failure (AHF), while the rest had Chronic heart failure (CHF), and 67.9% of the total patients were males.

Medications used for the pharmacological management of HF include angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), β-blockers, mineralocorticoid antagonists (MRAs), diuretics, hydralazine/nitrates, and digoxin. Ivabradine was approved in 2015 to improve clinical outcomes in HF patients with persistent symptoms of HF, despite maximum tolerated β-blockers doses, with a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤35%, who are in sinus rhythm with a resting heart rate (RHR) of 70 bpm or greater, or have a contraindication to β-blockers use [7]. Based on two large registries, ivabradine is prescribed in 19.7% and 21.4% of HF patients [8, 9].

1.1. The pharmacological action of ivabradine

The sinoatrial node (SAN) is the internal heart pacemaker, which is responsible for cardiac muscle contraction by generating depolarization spontaneously and gradually. Further progression of this depolarization is accelerated by the opening of the Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel in the SAN regulating the current (I_f) [10, 11] resulting in Na+ and K+ influx [12-15].

Ivabradine selectively binds to the inside of the HCN channel, after entering the pacemaker cells and inhibiting their opening and antagonizing their action. Prevention of the inflow of ionic current hinders depolarization and subsequently, reduces heart rate (HR). This blocking effect is proportional to circulating and binding ivabradine through a dose-dependent effect [15, 16]. Furthermore, the greater HR, the greater the ivabradine induced antagonism, ultimately increasing HR reduction. Selective HR reduction is achieved without impacting cardiac contractility or subsequently modifying blood pressure [17, 18]. This reduction in HR has been associated with improved QOL and better prognosis in patients with HF [4, 19]. The detrimental effects of increased HR in this population is illustrated in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 1. Mode of action of ivabradine](image-url)
1.2. Pharmacokinetic Properties of ivabradine

Ivabradine follows linear pharmacokinetics across its therapeutic range. Following the oral administration of one dose, rapid absorption is achieved. On an empty stomach, maximum plasma concentration is reached in 60 min. It undergoes 60% first-pass liver and gastrointestinal breakdown. After meals, the maximum concentration is reached in 2 h achieving higher plasma concentrations [22]. Ivabradine is highly bound to plasma proteins. The volume of distribution is approximately 100 L once steady state is reached after multiple-dose administration [23]. Extensive hepatic metabolism by the cytochrome (CYP) system occurs specifically by the enzyme CYP3A4. To avoid drug-drug interactions, concomitant administration of inducers or inhibitors is prohibited [24]. Mild CYP3A4 inhibitors such as metronidazole, omeprazole, and fluoxetine, do not alter ivabradine concentrations [25-27]. Additionally, sildenafil, statins, dihydropyridine calcium-channel blockers, digoxin, and warfarin similarly have insignificant effects. Avoidance of potent inhibitors as azole antifungals, HIV protease inhibitors, and macrolide antibiotics, as well as moderate inhibitors such as diltiazem and verapamil, is mandatory [23]. Phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital, and rifampin are potent inducers, therefore should be avoided [25, 28, 29]. Dose adjustment is recommended only with moderate but not warranted in mild hepatic impairment, however, ivabradine use is contraindicated in patients with severe hepatic failure [23]. The half-life of ivabradine is 2 h. Metabolism occurs mainly through the liver, with less than 10% eliminated by the kidney unchanged [30]. The total body clearance of ivabradine is 400 mL/min. Mild to moderate renal insufficiency has a negligible effect on ivabradine concentrations, therefore, it demands no dose adjustments [31].

1.3. Ivabradine Recommended Dosage

There are two dosage strengths (5 mg and 7.5 mg) of ivabradine tablets available in the market for HF management. A lower dose of 5 mg is initiated 2 times per day, and then the dose is gradually increased up to 7.5 mg twice daily if needed following the failure of reaching target
HR within two weeks of treatment. A further reduction to 2.5 mg twice daily can be made if adverse effects such as bradycardia or dizziness are experienced. For patients older than 75 mg an initial dose (2.5 mg twice daily) is sufficient [11, 23].

1.4. Safety and Toxicity

Ivabradine is safe and well-tolerated alone or in combination with β-blockers or cardiovascular medication and patients with cardiovascular diseases [32-34]. It is not contraindicated in patients with other chronic respiratory and endocrinal diseases [35, 36]. It may be used safely with mild hypotensive patients due to the absence of blood pressure reduction. Its common side effects are dizziness, fatigue, atrial fibrillation, bradycardia and visual symptoms [37]. Less than 4% of patients on ivabradine experience bradycardia, although this usually doesn’t require discontinuation of the drug [33, 37, 38]. A sub-study of the BEAUTIFUL trial confirmed the safety of co-administration of ivabradine with β-blockers [39].

There was no evidence in an increase in the risk of arrhythmia development with ivabradine usage, despite efficacy in successfully reducing HR [39]. Transient vision disturbance related to ivabradine is caused by the effect of ivabradine on ion channels in the retina (Ih) current, identical to the target SAN (If) channel. These reported symptoms were nonfrequent and were considered mild and reversible with time not requiring treatment withdrawal [37, 40, 41]. Ivabradine administration should be avoided in patients with decompensated HF, severe hypotension, patients with SA, and 3rd-degree AV block [42].

1.5. Clinical trials of ivabradine in HFrEF

1.5.1. The BEAUTIFUL investigator's study

The first study investigating the impact of ivabradine treatment on morbidity, mortality and reducing RHR in HF and coronary artery disease (CAD) was conducted by the BEAUTIFUL (morbidity-mortality evaluation of ivabradine in patients with CAD and LV dysfunction) [38]. This multiple center trial was a randomized, placebo-controlled study with 10918 patients with ischemic cardiovascular disease and reduced EF (<40%). The primary outcome was a composite of cardiovascular death, hospitalization and major adverse cardiac events. The patients continued therapy with ACEIs/(ARBs) agents as well as β-blockers. Despite that ivabradine was ineffective in reducing the primary composite endpoint compared to placebo, subgroup analysis of patients with HR greater than 70 bpm, revealed that the risk for acute MI and coronary revascularization was reduced by 36% and by 30%, respectively.

1.5.2. CARVIVA-HF

In the CARVIVA HF trial, the impact of carvedilol, ivabradine, and their combined effect on exercise capacity was investigated in patients with HF receiving maximal evidence-based doses of ACEIs [43]. All β-blockers were discontinued before randomization. Patients were divided into 3 groups: ivabradine (maximum 7.5 mg twice daily), carvedilol (maximum 25 mg twice a day), or ivabradine (maximum 5 mg) and carvedilol (maximum 12.5 mg), twice daily. The treatment duration was 12 weeks. Evidence from this trial suggested that both treatments with ivabradine as a single agent or ivabradine plus carvedilol improved the exercise capacity and quality of life in HF patients with guideline maximum tolerated doses of ACEIs.

1.5.3. SHIFT

Systolic HF treatment with the (If) inhibitor ivabradine trial is one of the large trials studying ivabradine administration in the long-term. This
study assessed the add on the impact of ivabradine to tolerated guideline therapy on the clinical outcomes of HF patients with sinus rhythm and reduced EF <35%. The twice-daily dosing of ivabradine was compared to placebo treatment, starting with a minimal dose of 5 mg, followed by a gradual dose increase. Results showed improvement in the primary outcomes which were hospitalization, mortality, and morbidity. A reduction in HR was reported in the Ivabradine versus placebo arm. Most patients were on β-blockers but not the maximal dose. A follow up period of almost 2 years was reached and the positive outcomes were more pronounced in patients with RHR ≥77 bpm and less noticeable in those receiving less than half the target dose of β-blockers [37]. Overall, the evidence from these findings validates ivabradine use in HF patients with systolic dysfunction, along with β-blockers administration. Additionally, safety was evaluated and proved.

To sum up, the two-main long-term studies BEAUTIFUL and SHIFT justified the use of ivabradine in patients with systolic dysfunction. The BEAUTIFUL study evaluated ivabradine effect on morbidity and mortality in Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) patients with associated LV dysfunction, while the SHIFT study assessed its efficacy in the reduction of cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization for worsening HF in patients with chronic HF and LV systolic dysfunction, who are already optimized on guideline-directed medical therapy.

Based on this evidence, ESC guideline recommended the use of ivabradine in persistently symptomatic patients with LVEF ≤35%, and sinus rhythm (HR ≥70 bpm) even whilst receiving maximum tolerated dose of β-blockers or in the presence of contra-indications to β-blockers usage [1, 19].

1.5.4. LIVE (LIFE)

Elderly patients are known to have multiple comorbidities combined with polypharmacy. Therefore, a multicenter study conducted in the United Kingdom (UK) evaluated elderly HF patients with age ≥70 years of initiating ivabradine. The results showed that after 6 months of treatment, patients’ Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) and functional status were improved. The data of the latter study was presented after an average of 15 months [44, 45]. The result of using ivabradine in the long-term showed that it was well tolerated in this population. The findings of this study support the data published in the SHIFT study. However, this study has limitations as it was an open-label, prospective, observational study. Accordingly, as ivabradine was already included in the international guidelines at the time the study started, it is considered unethical to conduct a placebo-controlled trial. Moreover, adherence to ivabradine cannot be excluded [1].

1.6. Clinical trials of ivabradine in HFpEF

A study published in 2017 enrolled patients with HFpEF and found a significant difference in HR reduction in ivabradine arm versus placebo arm from the baseline after 8 months follow up. Furthermore, there was a significant improvement in total mitral flow duration and left atrial volume index (LAVI) in the ivabradine group compared to the placebo group. However, those improvements in echocardiography were not reflected in other outcomes including the functional capacity and NT-proBNP levels. Therefore, the benefits of ivabradine in diastolic HF are lacking. More clinical trials on HFpEF cohorts are required to sufficiently assess whether HR reduction may benefit these groups of patients [46].

In contrast, another study conducted on patients with HFpEF reported that the patients
treated with ivabradine showed a significant reduction in RHR at follow up, however, no significant change was observed in blood pressure. Besides, while LV diastolic volume slightly increased, LV systolic volume was not significantly reduced. Ivabradine administration resulted in improvement in both the stroke volume and EF%. Finally, this trial concluded that ivabradine use as an add-on to guideline-directed medical therapy for 3 months in patients with HFrEF can reduce LV diastolic dysfunction, these positive findings correlate with the clinical improvement of these patients \[47\].

1.7. Novel perceptions of ivabradine in heart failure

Quality of life, global assessment and symptoms are the most common patient-reported outcomes (PRO) in patients with HF. However, their influence on guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT) in HF patients on ivabradine is under-investigated in clinical trials. Recent research studied the impact of ivabradine on PRO in LVEF- HF patients with a baseline HR more than 77 bpm. This trial revealed that the addition of ivabradine to standard HF therapy significantly improved QOL decreased cardiovascular mortality and hospital admission for decompensated HF and affected LV dimensions positively on long-term treatment. These findings confirm that the addition of ivabradine to recommended standard therapy in patients with baseline HR more than 77 bpm has a great impact on PRO \[48\].

Also, due to ivabradine's mechanism of action as a negative chronotropic drug, the possibility of its suitability for use in HF patients with permanent AF has been considered. Although this is not yet proven in clinical practice, currently there is an ongoing project entitled BRAKE-AF project. The objective of the project is to assess the possible role of ivabradine together with other therapeutic options used to manage the function of the heart in AF \[49\].

Additionally, there are ongoing studies to emphasize that ivabradine has a positive inotropic effect, one of these studies were done on isolated rat's heart. Ivabradine increased the Ca\(^{2+}\) reuptake rates of sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2a (SERCA2a), lowered the diastolic Ca\(^{2+}\) level and inhibited Na\(^+\)/Ca\(^{2+}\) exchange. Ivabradine contributed to increasing the action potential duration and sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca\(^{2+}\) \[50\].

Also, a recent study found the role of ivabradine in muscular diseases. The study was conducted on a group of patients with muscular diseases including heart and skeletal muscle dystrophy using ivabradine. Their findings proved that ivabradine was tolerated and may reduce symptoms, morbidity, and mortality in this population \[51\].

Finally, concerning the cost-effectiveness of ivabradine in HFrEF patients, there is a published study conducted on Thailand population. This study suggested that the add-on of ivabradine to guideline-directed medical therapy was a cost-effective treatment plan in Thailand HFrEF patients with a baseline RHR ≥77 bpm \[52\].

Conclusion

Ivabradine an inhibitor of the cardiac pacemaker (I\(_f\)) current channel, in the Sino-atrial node, is the only approved medication reducing HR without modifying blood pressure. It is considered safe and tolerable for chronic HFrEF. Concerning patients with HFrEF, conflicting results from limited trials using ivabradine are insufficient to confirm its benefit in this group of patients. Long term studies are required in the future to verify outcomes from previous studies. Moreover, the role of ivabradine in HF patients with AF is currently under investigation.
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